B2B Procedures
Technical Delivery Specification Discussion paper

CONSULTATION

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: *Select Solutions*

Completion Date: *28/11/2016*

Item	ID	Discussion point	Priority (H,M,L)	Participant comment
1	1	FTP Delivery methods		Select Solutions does not propose any changes to the current method and protocols
2	2	FTP Acknowledgement Patterns – One to one comms		Select Solutions does not propose any changes to the current method and protocols
3	3	New delivery protocols		Select Solutions would recommend that security is a key element in the design of the updated B2B and SMP.
4	3			If more than one protocol was offered by the B2B hub, these should be able to be used interchangeably to allow flexibility in implementation and system changes on the participant systems side. What is the process for switching from one protocol to another? Can participants switch back and forth? Can different protocols be used for different transaction groups, priorities, recipients, etc. or is one in all in?
5	3			If SMP is intended to enable synchronous transactions and discussion in the SWG has been that synchronous transactions will not work in a timely enough manner, does this negate the benefit of the SMP?
6	4	New e-hub interoperability		How many participants intend to invest in the SMP if the FTP is still supported and asynch transactions seem to be sufficient
7	5	New e-hub acknowledgement patterns		Select Solutions would suggest that limiting the view to interactions similar to FTP is not recommended to take the most advantage from modern integration protocols and solutions
8	5			Is there a higher chance of Ack messages being sent out of order with the new patterns due to processing times
9	6	New e-hub many to one messaging		Keep the method of communication as simple as possible to save overhead.
10	7	New e-hub validations		Select Solutions does not propose any changes to the current method and protocols
11	8	Contingency		Current contingency methods say that if B2B fails you go to the Web browser and if that fails you go to email. All these rely on there being some form of network available to the participant. However if the network goes down it is likely to take all these comms methods out. Should there not be an ability to the participant to notify AEMO who then broadcasts a message notifying

				the market that a participant is out of action?
12	9	Other changes to the procedures		Select Solutions does not see any significant impacts due to these changes if communicated effectively