



B2B Procedures Version 2.2

Initial Consultation Participant Response Pack

Participant: AusNet Services

*Completion Date: **29 August 14***

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.0.

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

AusNet Services supports all the changes proposed except as noted below in Section 9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process.

AusNet Services supports an effective date of 22 November 2014 as proposed.

9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red strikeout means delete</p>		
		<p>2.5 Provide Meter Data Process</p> <p>a. If:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a Participant reasonably believes that they have not received requires MDFF Data for a NMI from an MDP; to which it is entitled in accordance with the Rules, then the Participant may commence the Provide Meter Data Process. 			
		<p>The current wording is:</p> <p>a. If:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a Participant reasonably believes that they have not received MDFF Data for a NMI from an MDP; a Participant requires historical Metering Data from a MDP to which they are entitled pursuant to the CATS Procedure and/or a jurisdictional instrument; or a Participant requires a MDP to re-send certain MDFF Data, then a Participant may commence the 	<p>a. If:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a Participant reasonably believes that they have not received MDFF Data for a NMI from an MDP <u>to which it is entitled in accordance with the Rules;</u> a Participant requires historical Metering Data from a MDP to which they are entitled pursuant to the CATS Procedure and/or a jurisdictional instrument; or a Participant requires a MDP to re-send certain MDFF Data, then a Participant may commence 	M	

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions

M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.

H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
		<p>Provide Meter Data Process.</p> <p>We have the following issues with the proposed wording:</p> <p>i) the proposed wording appears to have been written without consideration of the context of point 1 within the broader paragraph 2.5 (a). The phrase “then a Participant may commence the Provide Meter Data Process” is not required in 2.5 (a) 1.</p> <p>ii) the concept of a PMD being sent when “a Participant reasonably believes that they have not received MDFF Data for a NMI” should be retained. The PMD/VMD considerations are attempting to reduce the number of PMDs. This clause as currently written mandates that a PMD should only be sent where the Participant cannot locate metering data to which they are entitled. This should be retained.</p>	<p>the Provide Meter Data Process.</p>		