



~~Company Logo here~~

Insert

INITIAL CONSULTATION – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

MSATS PROCEDURES:

Consumer Administration and Transfer Solution (CATS)
Procedure Principles and Obligations Version 4.0

Procedure for the Management of Wholesale,
Interconnector, Generator and Sample (WIGS) NMIs
Version 4.0

Participant: Endeavour Energy

Completion Date: 23/12/2013

1. Participant Responses

- Section A covers the proposed changes to the CATS Procedure Version 3.87
- Section B covers the proposed changes to the WIGS Procedure Version 3.87

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

A. Proposed Changes to the CATS and WIGS Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red-strikeout means delete		
4.1.2	004	Table 4-E Reference note against description shows (4) but should show (2)		L	
4.1.4	005	Table 4-C Would like to understand why the description for datastream type refers the reader to standing data for MSATS document where other standing data elements are described in this table.		L	
4.1.5	007	The MPB should always have the option to populate an actual end date with the 3001, 3002 & 3003 change requests to make corrections to minimise the need to submit additional change requests.		H	
4.1.7	007	The MDP should always have the option to populate an actual end date with the		H	

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
 M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
 H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

		4001 & 4003 change requests to make corrections to minimise the need to submit additional change requests.			
4.1.8	007	The MDP should always have the option to populate an actual end date with the 4004 & 4005 change requests to make corrections to minimise the need to submit additional change requests.		H	

B. Proposed Changes to the WIGS Procedure

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
4.2.2	005	Table 1-B Reference note against description shows (4) but should show (2)		L	

² L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.