

POC Systems Work Group No.4 Meeting Notes

MEETING: POC Systems Work Group
DATE: Tuesday 6 December 2016
TIME: 09:30AM – 14:00PM
LOCATION: AEMO Melbourne Offices; Level 22, 530 Collins St

1. Key Outcomes

New Protocols

- AEMO presented the new protocol and interfacing methods presentation to the group outlining the proposed 'Push Push' solution created by the focus group and the details on how this solution will interoperate with the current 'Hokey Pokey' FTP.
- During this discussion a number of questions were raised which will require feedback from AEMO,
 - How will the eHub handle in flight transactions when switching (to manage contingency scenario) from Web Services to FTP? AEMO will document this process and feed it back to the group.
 - The proposed solution for notified parties does not offer the initiator the ability to choose to receive all the TACK's. The focus group had previously proposed that there was no need to receive all of the TACK's and decided only the rejections were needed to be sent from the eHub. However, a number of participants in the room raised the point that they would indeed need all TACK's (positive & negative). Therefore AEMO will provide the optionality for the participants to receive all or just the negative TACK's from the eHub.
 - As all participants will be transferring to the new schema on Dec 1 2017 shouldn't the eHub only support versions only from that version onwards as previous versions don't account for the new market? AEMO will investigate whether a technical validation should be added to the Ehub to reject previous versions and will report back to the group.

Multi Party Discussion Paper

- AEMO provided an update to the group on the 'Multi Party' paper published by the focus group last week that was sent out to members for feedback. Noting that 2 responses were received by AEMO.
- The group then had an open floor discussion on the paper giving the group the opportunity to go into more details on the feedback provided and also provide further feedback in the room. During the discussions it was agreed by the group that the existing paper does not clearly outline the technical limitations of implementing a 'One to Many' solution on the existing ftp 'Hokey Pokey' protocol and that these should be included prior to sending to the B2B WG. There were also some questions raised around the approach AEMO was proposing to respond to the 2 members who provide feedback on the paper. AEMO was planning to respond directly to these members. But the group agreed that it would be more beneficial for the wider group to also be included in these responses. AEMO will therefore update the paper to include the technical limitations and its responses to the feedback received.

2. Action Items

The following actions were identified in the meeting;

Item	Topic	Action required	Responsible	By
1.6	Manage inflight transactions when changing the interfacing method	AEMO to document the process of managing the inflight transactions when the interfacing method is changed from web services to FTP and vice-versa	AEMO	13/12
1.7	Notified Parties TACK Optionality	AEMO will include optionality for participants to choose whether to receive all TACK's or just negative TACK's in the notified party's solution. This has been updated in the TDS	AEMO	13/12
1.8	Multiparty Paper	AEMO to update the paper to include technical limitations of 1 to many on the existing FTP protocol.	AEMO	14/12
1.9	1 st December 17' Schema validation	AEMO will analyse the impact of adding a technical validation to reject previous schema versions	AEMO	13/12

3. Other Business

- A member raised concerns around the timelines of the procedures, given the TDS is being published on the 23rd December and is only going through 1 round of consultation. The question in the room centred upon what happens if there is a major change in the procedures (TDS) between the 23rd December and the final determination on the 6th March, noting that industry testing starts in mid-August. AEMO took the action to raise to the B2B working group, to see if there is any channels of communications we can have between these dates to inform the SWG members of change earlier than the final determination.
- A member also raised the possibility of an additional report in MSATS that would provide a monthly view of transactions and their status for traceability. This was suggested to be needed in addition to the existing daily zip files. AEMO has discussed this internally and at this stage will not be progressing this further. However this could be raised in the LVI discussions if it seen as a high priority for the group.
- The group also discussed and agreed on an approach for determining the changes required on the LVI. A focus group will be formed and tasked to do this. The focus group will then report back to the wider group. The opinion being this will a more efficient approach than starting in the wider group first.

4. Meeting Critique

5. Next meeting

The next POC SWG meeting is scheduled for 19th December at 10:00AM.

6. Previous action Items

Item	Topic	Action required	Responsible	By
1	Notified Parties & Ack Patterns	AEMO to produce a paper outlining the 2 identified options.	AEMO	26/10
1.1	Request for member preferences of notification model Option.	Members to respond back to AEMO's paper.	Working Group members	28/10
1.2	Context diagram	Volunteered to frame a context diagram for a variation of Option 1 (EHub generating notifications).	Kristen Clarke	02/10
1.3	Establish focus group to further investigate Option 1	AEMO to facilitate session with focus group to Work through the acknowledgment patterns for package 1 & provide a final recommendation for the B2B WG	AEMO	04/11
1.4	Focus Group to white board 'Push-Push' solution.	Focus group to white board a Web Services 'Push-Push' solution and validate it against the 7 principles outlined in the SWG	Focus Group	18/11
1.5	AEMO to document solution	AEMO was tasked to document the white boarded solution and then share with the focus group post session prior for review prior to sharing with wider SWG.	AEMO	24/11

7. Items raised prior to Meeting

8. ATTENDEES:

Full Name	Company
Adrian Honey	TasNetworks
Trent Smith	Brave Energy Systems
Kristen Clarke	Pacific Hydro
Anna Russo	Endeavour Energy
Annett Reitmann	AGL
Clint Gadsden	Lumo
Justin Wigg	Ausnet Services
Paul Willacy	Aurora Energy
Pieter W	AGL
Ryan Moss	Pacific Hydro
Sauranh Sharma	Momentum Energy
Ciro Barbieri	Origin Energy
Narelle Clark	Origin Energy
Deepesh Goswami	Secure Australasia Pty Ltd
Richard Paine	ActewAGL Distribution
Paul Cliff	Origin Energy Limited
Nick Gustafsson	Hansen Technologies Limited
Adam Dubojski	Essential Energy
Ian Hamilton	United Energy Distribution Pty Ltd
Alex Polonetskiy	Ausgrid