

Frequency and Time Error Monitoring – Quarter 3 2023

November 2023

A report for the National Electricity Market





Important notice

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide information about the frequency and time error performance in the National Electricity Market (NEM) for the mainland and Tasmanian regions for the period July to September 2023 inclusive. AEMO has prepared this report in accordance with clause 4.8.16(b) of the National Electricity Rules (NER), using information available as at the date of publication, unless otherwise specified.

Disclaimer

This document or the information in it may be subsequently updated or amended. This document does not constitute legal or business advice and should not be relied on as a substitute for obtaining detailed advice about the National Electricity Law, the National Electricity Rules, or any other applicable laws, procedures or policies. AEMO has made reasonable efforts to ensure the quality of the information in this document but cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness.

Accordingly, to the maximum extent permitted by law, AEMO and its officers, employees and consultants involved in the preparation of this document:

- make no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the currency, accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information in this document; and
- are not liable (whether by reason of negligence or otherwise) for any statements or representations in this document, or any omissions from it, or for any use or reliance on the information in it.

Copyright

© 2023 Australian Energy Market Operator Limited. The material in this publication may be used in accordance with the copyright permissions on AEMO's website.

Introduction

The Reliability Panel's Frequency Operating Standard (FOS)¹ specifies limits for power system frequency and time error for the mainland and Tasmanian regions of the National Electricity Market (NEM). AEMO must use its reasonable endeavours to control power system frequency and ensure that the FOS is achieved as required by clause 4.4.1 of the National Electricity Rules (NER).

Where applicable, analysis of the delivery of slow and delayed frequency control ancillary services (FCAS) in this report is based on 4-second resolution SCADA information derived from AEMO's systems. Any analysis of fast FCAS is based on a combination of the best available data from FCAS meters and AEMO's systems.

The Queensland, New South Wales, Victoria, and South Australia regions are referred to as the 'mainland' throughout the report. Unless otherwise noted, mainland frequency data was sampled in New South Wales at 4-second intervals using the most recent Global Positioning System (GPS) clock frequency measurement preceding each 4-second interval. All Tasmanian frequency data was sampled at 4-second intervals using the most recent network operations and control system (NOCS) frequency measurement preceding each 4-second interval.

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full term
ACE	Area control error
AGC	Automatic generation control
AEMC	Australian Energy Market Commission
BESS	Battery energy storage system
FCAS	Frequency control ancillary services
FOS	Frequency Operating Standard
GPS	Global Positioning System
MASS	Market ancillary services specification
NEM	National Electricity Market
NER	National Electricity Rules
NOFB	Normal operating frequency band
NOFEB	Normal operating frequency excursion band
OFTB	Operational frequency tolerance band
PFR	Primary frequency response
PFRR	Primary Frequency Response Requirements
PMU	Phasor measurement unit
RoCoF	Rate of change of frequency
TNSP	Transmission network service provider
VRE	Variable renewable energy
VPP	Virtual Power Plant
VF	Very Fast

¹ See <u>https://www.aemc.gov.au/australias-energy-market/market-legislation/electricity-guidelines-and-standards/frequency-0.</u>

Contents

1	Actions to improve frequency control performance	6
2	Achievement of the Frequency Operating Standard	11
3	Rate of change of frequency	15
4	Area control error	18
5	Reviewable operating incidents	19
A1.	Credible generation and load events	21
A2.	Methodology	24

Tables

Table 1	Key frequency statistics from the mainland and Tasmania in Q3 2023	8
Table 2	FOS assessment in the mainland and Tasmania	11
Table 3	Number of frequency excursions without identified contingency outside the NOFEB in Q3 2023	13
Table 4	RoCoF during frequency events in the mainland	15
Table 5	Credible generation events since 2020	21
Table 6	Credible load events since 2020	21
Table 7	Credible generation and load events in Q3 2023	22

Figures

Figure 1	Frequency in NOFB since January 2013, minimum daily time percentage in prior 30-day	
	window	9
Figure 2	Monthly mainland frequency distribution	9
Figure 3	Monthly mainland frequency crossings	10
Figure 4	Estimated aggregate frequency responsiveness in NEM regions	10
Figure 5	FOS exceedances in the mainland and Tasmania	12
Figure 6	Time error in the mainland and Tasmania in Q3 2023	13
Figure 7	FOS exceedance in Tasmania on 29 September	14
Figure 8	Monthly maximum RoCoF recorded in any mainland region in 2020-23	15
Figure 9	Time series mainland and Tasmania inertia in Q3 2023	16
Figure 10	Distribution of mainland inertia in Q3 2023	16

Figure 11	Distribution of Tasmania inertia in Q2 2023	17
Figure 12	Minimum and maximum ACE per half-hour in mainland NEM	18
Figure 13	Minimum and maximum ACE per half-hour in Tasmania	18
Figure 14	Frequency disturbance following Eraring Unit 1 generator trip	19
Figure 15	Frequency outcomes of identified credible generation and load events	23

1 Actions to improve frequency control performance

1.1 Recent and in progress actions

The following recently completed or in progress actions are expected to contribute to maintaining or improving frequency control performance.

- The Very Fast (VF) frequency control ancillary services (FCAS) raise and lower markets each commenced operation on 9 October 2023 with a global National Electricity Market (NEM), system normal requirement that was limited at 50 megawatts (MW). AEMO will review levels of registered capacity that is committed for VF FCAS market participation on a fortnightly basis and decide whether the allowed procurement volumes can be incremented. More information can be found on the AEMO VF FCAS market transition page².
- The Reliability Panel completed a review of the Frequency Operating Standard (FOS), into which AEMO provided technical advice³. Key changes in the new FOS, which took effect from 9 October 2023, include:
 - Revised settings for normal system operation, including an explicit target frequency of 50 hertz (Hz).
 - Requirements for the rate of change of frequency (RoCoF) to be limited during contingency events.
 - Removal of limits on accumulated time error.
- AEMO has made alterations to the dynamic regulation FCAS procurement based on time error to reflect the changes in the FOS from 9 October. Further information can be found on AEMO Electricity Market Notice No. 110152⁴.
- In response to some observed slow oscillations in Tasmanian frequency during periods when Basslink is out of operation, AEMO is reviewing the tuning of automatic generation control (AGC) for the Tasmanian region. At the moment, no changes are planned to the Mainland AGC or the procurement of Regulation FCAS.
- Updates to the market ancillary services specification (MASS) FCAS verification tool⁵ and associated user guide⁶ were published in August 2023. In line with the changes made in Version 8.1 of the MASS, which took effect on 9 October 2023, the latest tool includes the new calculation for Very Fast FCAS and the updated calculation for Fast, Slow and Delayed FCAS.

² See <u>https://aemo.com.au/energy-systems/electricity/national-electricity-market-nem/system-operations/ancillary-services/very-fast-fcasmarket-transition</u>

³ See <u>https://www.aemc.gov.au/market-reviews-advice/review-frequency-operating-standard-2022</u>.

⁴ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/Market-Notices</u>.

⁵ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/security_and_reliability/ancillary_services/2023/external-fcas-verification-tool-v6-formass-v8_draft.xlsx?la=en.</u>

⁶ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/security_and_reliability/ancillary_services/2023/fcas-verification-tool-user-guide-v50.pdf?la=en.</u>

- AEMO published a final determination and update to the MASS on 7 October 2022 and finalised a revision of a setting for Tasmanian Very Fast FCAS providers on 28 July 2023⁷. Version 8.1 of the MASS is effective from 9 October 2023, coinciding with the commencement of the Very Fast FCAS markets.
- Consultation on the Frequency Contribution Factors Procedure was completed, with a final report and procedure published in June 2023. Further information is available on the Frequency Performance Payments project page⁸.
- The consultation on the Primary Frequency Response Requirements (PFRR) was concluded. The final determination was published on 4 May 2023. Further information is available on AEMO's website⁹.
- The updated technical guides for the contingency FCAS registration of Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS)¹⁰ and intermittent generators¹¹ were published on 24 March 2023. The guides were developed to assist market participants intending to participate in the FCAS markets and describe the testing requirements and applicable settings of the FCAS controllers.
- The interim release of the Integrating Energy Storage Systems (IESS) rule change on 31 March 2023 allows small generator aggregators (SGAs) to provide contingency FCAS for the first time in the NEM. Further information is available on AEMO's website¹².
- AEMO published its Roadmap to 100% Renewables report in December 2022¹³ and recently published a follow-up called FY2024 Priority Actions Report¹⁴. These publications continue progress on a framework¹⁵ that aims to provide a technical base to inform industry prioritisation of the steps necessary to securely, reliably and affordably transition. The framework considers frequency control and inertia, outlining the preconditions that must be satisfied for the first periods of 100% renewable penetration, and actions necessary to achieve these preconditions, as system inertia reduces and frequency response is increasingly provided by inverter-based resources (IBR).
- AEMO continues to implement the mandatory PFR requirements introduced into the National Electricity Rules (NER) in 2020¹⁶, and made enduring in 2022. Implementation reports are on AEMO's website¹⁷. While implementation is complete at virtually all synchronous and BESS facilities, these reports outline the challenges remaining in completing implementation at variable renewable energy (VRE) facilities.

¹³ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/initiatives/engineering-framework/2022/engineering-roadmap-to-100-per-cent-renewables.pdf</u>.

⁷ <u>https://aemo.com.au/en/consultations/current-and-closed-consultations/mass-consultation---revision-of-tasmanian-settings-for-very-fast-fcas</u>.

⁸ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/initiatives/major-programs/frequency-performance-payments-project</u>.

⁹ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/consultations/current-and-closed-consultations/primary-frequency-response-requirements</u>.

¹⁰ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/security_and_reliability/ancillary_services/battery-energy-storage-system-requirements-for-contingency-fcas-registration.pdf?la=en.</u>

¹¹ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/security_and_reliability/ancillary_services/wind-farms-and-solar-farms-testing-requirements-for-contingency-fcas-registration.pdf?la=en.</u>

¹² See <u>https://aemo.com.au/initiatives/major-programs/integrating-energy-storage-systems-project</u>.

¹⁴ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/initiatives/engineering-framework/2023/nem-engineering-roadmap-fy2024--priority-actions.pdf</u>.

¹⁵ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/en/initiatives/major-programs/engineering-framework.</u>

¹⁶ See <u>https://aemc.gov.au/rule-changes/mandatory-primary-frequency-response</u>.

¹⁷ See <u>https://aemo.com.au/en/initiatives/major-programs/primary-frequency-response</u>.

1.2 Impact of frequency control actions

This section illustrates the historical and latest frequency performance in the NEM, and the impact of the actions taken by AEMO and others (listed in Section 1.1) to maintain and improve power system frequency control outcomes.

Table 1 contains key metrics of frequency performance for the quarter from 1 July 2023 to 30 September 2023 (Q3 2023). The majority of long duration frequency events in the quarter, including the longest event on 26 August 2023, were caused when Basslink was undergoing a flow reversal. For clarity, the frequency in Tasmania did not remain outside the normal operating frequency band (NOFB) for more than five minutes.

AEMO is encouraged by frequency performance observed over Q3 2023, which indicates that, from a frequency control perspective, the system is well placed to cope with unexpected incidents.

Further details on notable events in Q3 2023 are available in Section 5.

Table 1 Key frequency statistics from the mainland and Tasmania in Q3 2023

	Mair	land	Tasmania	
	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum
Frequency (Hz)	49.8	50.1	49.1	50.8
Time error (seconds [s])	-4.51	3.53	-3.98	4.08
Longest frequency event duration (s)*	8	3	89	97

*Frequency may return to the normal operating frequency band (NOFB) briefly during the period AEMO considers to constitute the event.

AEMO calculates daily the percentage of time that frequency remained inside the NOFB in the preceding 30-day window.

Figure 1 reports the minimum daily estimate from each month, showing the estimated time inside the NOFB, both including and excluding data during contingency events. The FOS requirement excludes periods where contingency events have occurred. Frequency in the mainland and Tasmania remained within the NOFB for more than 99% of the time in Q3 2023, indicating that the system is quite close to nominal frequency most of the time and thus would have the best capability to cope with unexpected events. Further detail on credible contingency events in Q3 2023 is available in Appendix A1.




Figure 1 Frequency in NOFB since January 2013, minimum daily time percentage in prior 30-day window

Figure 2 shows the distribution of mainland frequency within the NOFB since 2007.




Figure 2 Monthly mainland frequency distribution

Figure 3 shows the number of times mainland frequency has crossed the nominal 50 Hz target and how often frequency has departed the NOFB since 2007.





Figure 3 Monthly mainland frequency crossings

1.3 Aggregate frequency responsiveness

This section reports a measure that was included for the first time in the Q3 2022 quarterly report to fulfill the new reporting obligation introduced in clause 4.8.16(b)(1A) of the NER.

Figure 4 shows AEMO's assessment of the highest level of aggregate frequency responsiveness available from frequency responsive plant in each NEM region. These are estimated values using a calculation methodology detailed in Appendix A2.1, which results in an upper estimate of likely aggregate frequency responsiveness.





2 Achievement of the Frequency Operating Standard

2.1 Overview

AEMO's assessment of the achievement of the requirements of the FOS in Q3 2023 is summarised in Table 2, and further information on the FOS exceedances is in Section 2.2. Additionally, Figure 5 shows the FOS exceedances since 2020.

As noted in Section 1.1, the Reliability Panel completed a review of the FOS in April 2023. The revised FOS introduces new requirements that will apply from 9 October 2023.

Table 2 FOS assessment in the mainland and Tasmania

Requirement	Mainland	Tasmania	Further commentary
1 – Accumulated time error	Achieved	Achieved	
2 – No contingency/load events			
 Within normal operating frequency excursion band (NOFEB) at all times 	Achieved	Exceeded 15 times	See Section 2.2.2
Recovered in five minutes	Achieved	Achieved	
Within NOFB 99% of the time	Achieved	Achieved	
3 – Generation or load events			
Contained	Achieved	Achieved	
Recovered within five minutes	Achieved	Achieved	
4 – Network events			
Contained	Achieved	Achieved	
Recovered within five minutes	Achieved	Achieved	
5 – Separation events			
Contained	No separation events	No separation events	
 Managed within 10 minutes 	No separation events	No separation events	
6 – Protected events	No protected events	No protected events	
7 – Non-credible or multiple contingency events	Achieved	Achieved	
8 – Largest generation event in Tasmania	Not applicable	Achieved	




Figure 5 FOS exceedances in the mainland and Tasmania

2.2 Operation during identified FOS exceedances

This section provides further detail on the exceedances of the FOS listed in Table 2.

2.2.1 Time error exceedances

Figure 6 shows that there were no time error exceedances in Q3 2023.




Figure 6 Time error in the mainland and Tasmania in Q3 2023

2.2.2 Frequency excursions without a contingency event outside the NOFEB

Table 3 shows, for Q3 2023, frequency excursions outside the applicable normal operating frequency excursion band (NOFEB) where an associated contingency event has not been identified.

Event	Low/high/both frequency event	Number of events Mainland	Number of events Tasmania
No contingency or	LOW	0	13
load event noted	HIGH	0	1
	вотн	0	1

 Table 3
 Number of frequency excursions without identified contingency outside the NOFEB in Q3 2023

Tasmania had fewer events this quarter where frequency exceeded the NOFEB without an associated contingency event compared to Q2 2023; 30 events occurred in Q2 2023 compared to 15 events in Q3 2023.

14 of the 15 excursions outside the NOFEB in Tasmania in Q3 2023 occurred when the Basslink high voltage direct current (HVDC) interconnector was at its import limit or out of service. The frequency in Tasmania observed during these periods was characteristic of the smaller Tasmanian system when the support provided by the Basslink frequency controller is limited.

Figure 7 below shows one of the frequency excursions outside the NOFEB on 29 September in Tasmania, when Basslink was operating close to its import limit and thus unable to control frequency during that time.




Figure 7 FOS exceedance in Tasmania on 29 September

There was one additional excursion outside the NOFEB on 30 August at 0828 hrs in Tasmania which AEMO is investigating with the participant and the transmission network service provider (TNSP). Further information on this excursion will be provided in the next quarterly report.



3 Rate of change of frequency

AEMO implemented a revised method to calculate RoCoF from Q4 2022. The new calculation of RoCoF by AEMO's Phasor Measurement Unit (PMU) system is outlined in Appendix A2.2.

Table 4 shows the maximum RoCoF recorded in the mainland in each month in Q3 2023, and any other RoCoF event that exceeds the standard frequency ramp rate for the mainland (as specified in the MASS) of 0.125 hertz per second (Hz/s).


Table 4 RoCoF during frequency events in the mainland

Month	RoCoF (Hz/s)	Associated event	Event time
Jul-23	-0.10	Trip of Bayswater Power Station Unit 3 at 490 MW	5/07/2023 10:30
Aug-23	-0.30	Trip of Eraring Power Station Unit 1 at 700 MW	17/08/2023 15:56
Sep-23	-0.11	Trip of Kogan Creek Power Station at 517 MW	26/09/2023 07:36

Note: Estimates of RoCoF may vary depending on data source, sampling window and calculation method. See Appendix A2.2 for further detail on the methodology used to calculate RoCoF in this report.


Figure 8 shows the maximum RoCoF recorded in the mainland NEM since Q1 2020.






Note: 31 January 2020 RoCoF as measured in South Australia and 25 May 2021 RoCoF as measured in Queensland. New ROCOF calculation methodology used as of October 2022.

The estimated level of inertia in the mainland and Tasmania at five-minute intervals over Q3 2023 is shown in Figure 9, and a distribution chart for the mainland is provided in Figure 10 and for Tasmania in Figure 11. For the purposes of this report, inertia in the mainland and Tasmania at a point in time is calculated as the sum of the assumed inertia contributed by registered generators online in that region at that time.











Figure 11 Distribution of Tasmania inertia in Q2 2023

4 Area control error

The calculation of area control error (ACE) methodology by AEMO's AGC system is outlined in Appendix A2.3. Figure 12 and Figure 13 show the minimum and maximum ACE per half-hourly trading interval in Q3 2023 in the mainland NEM and Tasmania, respectively.








Figure 13 Minimum and maximum ACE per half-hour in Tasmania



5 Reviewable operating incidents

AEMO is required to review power system incidents that meet the criteria in the NER and Reliability Panel guidelines for identifying reviewable operating incidents¹⁸.

Mainland frequency exceeding the operational frequency tolerance band (OFTB) is the existing guideline for identifying a reviewable operating incident which affected power system frequency and is one basis for inclusion in this section. Other reviewable operating incidents may be included here at AEMO's discretion.

There were no reviewable operating incidents in Q3 2023 relating to frequency exceeding the OFTB. However, AEMO notes the following event which caused the frequency to go outside the NOFB:

- Eraring Unit 1 tripped on 17 August 2023, which resulted in a loss of 700 MW.
 - As shown in Figure 14, the minimum frequency observed in the mainland was 49.78 Hz, which is well within FOS requirements for a single generation event. The frequency recovered to within NOFB after 8 seconds.
 - AEMO has confirmed adequate response from seven FCAS providers and is investigating the performance of one provider. Additionally, one non-compliance was identified and AEMO is working with this provider to recover the FCAS payments for the non-compliance period.




Figure 14 Frequency disturbance following Eraring Unit 1 generator trip

There were two events in Q2 2023 that AEMO was investigating at the time of publishing the Q2 Frequency and Time Error Monitoring report. The outcomes of these investigations are as follows:

• Vales Point Unit 6 tripped on 10 May 2023, which resulted in a loss of 600 MW.

¹⁸ See <u>https://www.aemc.gov.au/sites/default/files/2018-02/Final-revised-guidelines.pdf</u>.

- AEMO has confirmed adequate response from seven providers and has identified one noncompliance for this event. One provider had inadvertently disabled the frequency support settings of its FCAS facility, which resulted in no FCAS response. AEMO is working with this provider to recover the FCAS payments for the non-compliance period.
- Kogan Creek tripped on 12 June 2023, which resulted in a loss of 760 MW.
 - AEMO has confirmed adequate response from 37 providers and has identified two noncompliances for this event. One provider had a subset of inverters in their fleet disconnecting from the grid during the event, which resulted in an under-delivery of Fast FCAS from the Virtual Power Plant (VPP). A separate provider had applied incorrect settings to the frequency controller of its FCAS facility prior to the event, which resulted in no FCAS response.
 - AEMO is working with both providers to recover the FCAS payments for the non-compliance period.

A1. Credible generation and load events

This appendix identifies credible generation and load events since 2020 meeting the following criteria:

- Supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) data from generator or load is available to AEMO.
- Generator or load reduced generation or consumption by 200 MW or more between successive 4-second SCADA scan intervals.

This is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all credible contingency events that affected power system frequency, as some thresholds must be selected to reasonably limit the number of events included. However, AEMO intends to include enough events of system significance to form a reasonable understanding of the ongoing success or otherwise of the NEM's aggregate ability to control frequency during major disturbances.

Events not featured below may include, but are not limited to:

- Generation and load events where the abrupt change of generation or consumption was less than 200 MW or was over a timespan longer than 4 seconds.
- Network events, separation events, non-credible events, multiple contingency events, and protected events.

Table 5 and Table 6 demonstrate that both generation and load events in Q3 2023 tended to have an average frequency nadir nearer to 50 Hz and average recovery time much shorter than seen in 2020, which is a strong indicator of better frequency response following contingency events.

Table 7 is a list of contingencies from Q3 2023 meeting the criteria noted above.

Quarter	Number of events	Average contingency size (MW)	Average frequency nadir (Hz)	Average recovery time (s)
Q3 2023	15	368	49.88	4
Q2 2023	9	407	49.86	4
Q1 2023	23	338	49.90	3
2022	77	347	49.88	5
2021	72	365	49.86	9
2020	96	362	49.80	93

Table 5 Credible generation events since 2020

Table 6Credible load events since 2020

Quarter	Number of events	Average contingency size (MW)	Average frequency nadir (Hz)	Average recovery time (s)
Q3 2023	22	270	50.08	0
Q2 2023	13	257	50.07	0
Q1 2023	23	286	50.09	0
2022	18	278	50.09	0
2021	58	261	50.09	0
2020	50	275	50.15	20

Event time	Unit	Contingency size (MW)	Frequency nadir/peak (Hz)	Recovery to NOFB (s)	FOS compliant?
2/07/2023 10:48	MP2	299	49.89	0	YES
3/07/2023 7:51	APD2	265	50.05	0	YES
5/07/2023 10:30	BW03	494	49.83	8	YES
5/07/2023 19:51	LYA1	501	49.85	0	YES
12/07/2023 16:19	PUMP1	241	50.04	0	YES
17/07/2023 12:39	TARONG#1	289	49.9	0	YES
20/07/2023 7:30	APD2	226	50.1	0	YES
21/07/2023 7:46	APD2	255	50.05	0	YES
24/07/2023 8:29	APD2	267	50.04	0	YES
25/07/2023 9:37	MPP_1	296	49.92	0	YES
27/07/2023 15:05	BOYNE3	345	50.1	0	YES
1/08/2023 11:20	PUMP1	244	50.08	0	YES
7/08/2023 7:32	APD2	264	50.05	0	YES
10/08/2023 6:20	GSTONE3	261	49.86	0	YES
11/08/2023 6:41	APD2	263	50.06	0	YES
14/08/2023 7:15	APD2	262	50.07	0	YES
14/08/2023 14:34	APD2	259	50.08	0	YES
16/08/2023 7:02	APD2	271	50.08	0	YES
16/08/2023 15:08	STOCKYD1	205	49.96	0	YES
17/08/2023 15:56	ER01	700	49.78	16	YES
19/08/2023 11:30	APD2	260	50.12	0	YES
20/08/2023 17:17	BW03	583	49.81	8	YES
23/08/2023 6:30	APD2	266	50.09	0	YES
25/08/2023 13:32	TIBG1	252	49.95	0	YES
29/08/2023 9:19	MP2	246	49.92	0	YES
1/09/2023 7:31	APD2	260	50.06	0	YES
4/09/2023 14:48	BW02	202	49.93	0	YES
4/09/2023 18:30	APD2	265	50.09	0	YES
12/09/2023 18:57	TOMAGO4	306	50.08	0	YES
20/09/2023 11:37	TIBL1	250	50.05	0	YES
20/09/2023 11:57	TIBG1	251	49.95	0	YES
21/09/2023 12:27	PUMP2	243	50.08	0	YES
22/09/2023 7:30	APD2	265	50.09	0	YES
25/09/2023 18:24	APD2	271	50.09	0	YES
26/09/2023 7:36	KPP_1	517	49.81	16	YES
26/09/2023 14:28	BOYNE3	387	50.1	0	YES
30/09/2023 5:57	MPP_1	425	49.83	8	YES

Table 7 Credible generation and load events in Q3 2023

Note: TOMAGO1-4 are not registered dispatchable unit identifiers (DUIDs) but are included here as major NEM loads.

Figure 15 displays each event from Table 7 to illustrate the distribution of frequency outcomes following credible contingency events in Q2 2023, in comparison to events since 2020.




Figure 15 Frequency outcomes of identified credible generation and load events

Note: Size of contingency event is represented by bubble size.

A2. Methodology

A2.1 Aggregate frequency responsiveness methodology

Estimated available aggregate frequency responsiveness in this quarterly report is calculated hourly as the sum of estimated available frequency response from all scheduled and semi-scheduled units with initial MW greater than zero at the time.

The estimated available frequency response of a unit sampled hourly is estimated in MW/0.1Hz using the following calculation.

 $If D_N > 0 \& MW_{N,T} > 0$

Then $EFR_{N,T} = \frac{100}{D_N} \times \frac{0.1Hz}{50Hz} \times C_N$

Else $EFR_{N,T} = 0$

where:

- **D** is unit percentage droop, and zero [0] represents that no droop is implemented.
- N is unit N.
- MW is unit initial MW in trading interval.
- **T** is trading interval, ending on the hour.
- EFR is unit estimated frequency response.
- **C** is unit maximum capacity.

Estimated available aggregate frequency responsiveness is estimated for each hour interval in MW/0.1Hz using the following equation:

$$AFR_{R,T} = \sum_{N=1}^{G} EFR_{N,T}$$

where:

- AFR is regional aggregate frequency response.
- **R** is NEM region.
- G is the number of generators in region R.

Further assumptions in the calculation of aggregate frequency responsiveness include:

- Unit frequency response is calculated using the *Maximum Capacity* from AEMO registration information.
- Units are assumed to provide frequency response in accordance with their implemented droop setting as confirmed by AEMO when implementing the mandatory PFR changes.
- Units that have not implemented PFR settings are not included in the calculation.
- The calculation ignores frequency response deadband. This is equivalent to assuming no deadband.

- Internal unit limits to providing frequency response, such as ramp rates, delays or minimum and maximum operating levels, are not modelled.
- Primary Frequency Response Requirements (PFRR) variations agreed with AEMO are not modelled in the calculation.
- Frequency response is not included from distributed energy resources and units which provide FCAS but not energy.
- Load relief is not included.

A2.2 Rate of change of frequency (RoCoF) methodology

The RoCoF following a frequency event is an indicator of the evolving system response to frequency disturbances. Measuring a system variable such as RoCoF is influenced by several assumptions concerning the available data and measurement methodology.

RoCoF as reported in this report has been calculated using two different methods for the periods from Q1 2020 to Q3 2022 and from Q4 2022 onwards.

Mainland frequency data used for calculation are taken from a PMU in Sydney, while Tasmanian data are taken from a PMU in Tungatinah.

Method 1: From Q1 2020 to Q3 2022

This RoCoF methodology uses snapshots of measured frequency from the AEMO/TNSP PMU system at 1-second intervals. This is a higher resolution than is available from the Global Positioning System (GPS) clock system and is therefore more appropriate for assessing RoCoF.

For the purposes of this report, RoCoF has been assessed as the recorded change in frequency per second over an interval of one second, or over an interval of two seconds when a measurement is not available. RoCoF assessment has not been attempted for periods longer than two seconds without data. For the purposes of this report, the maximum RoCoF recorded between five seconds prior and 30 seconds after each frequency event is considered to be the RoCoF associated with that event.

If 1s data available then RoCoF_t = MAX
$$\left(ABS\left(\frac{f_{t+1} - f_t}{t_{t+1} - t_t}\right)\right) \forall t$$

else if 2s data available then RoCoF_t = MAX $\left(ABS\left(\frac{f_{t+2} - f_t}{t_{t+2} - t_t}\right)\right) \forall t$

else no measurement attempted

where:

- **f** is system frequency in hertz.
- t is time in seconds.

Method 2: From Q4 2022 onwards

This RoCoF methodology uses a rolling 500 milliseconds (ms) window of frequency, measured at a sampling rate of 20 milliseconds (ms) from the AEMO/TNSP PMU system, to calculate the change in frequency over each 500 ms interval. This value is then doubled to convert to Hz/s. For the purposes of this report, the estimation of RoCoF in the 500 ms window with greatest change in frequency recorded

between five seconds prior and 30 seconds after each frequency event, with t=0s defined as being the time when frequency exits the NOFB, is considered to be the RoCoF associated with that event.

If 20ms data available then RoCoF_t = MAX
$$\left(ABS \left(\frac{f_{t+250ms} - f_{t-250ms}}{t_{t+250ms} - t_{t-250ms}} \right) \right) \forall t$$

where:

- f is system frequency in hertz.
- t is time in seconds.

A2.3 Area Control Error (ACE) methodology

As per the Regulation FCAS Contribution Factors Procedure¹⁹, AEMO calculates an ACE representing the MW equivalent size of the current frequency deviation and accumulated frequency deviation (time error) of the NEM system. ACE may be considered to represent a rough proxy for the required Regulation FCAS volume.

$$ACE = 10 \cdot Bias \cdot (F - FS - FO)$$

where:

- **Bias** is the area frequency bias and is a tuned value that represents the conversion ratio between MW and 0.1 Hz of frequency deviation.
- **F** is the current measured system frequency.
- **FS** is the scheduled frequency (50.0 Hz).
- FO is a frequency offset representing accumulated frequency deviation, that is, time error.

¹⁹ See https://www.aemo.com.au/-/media/Files/Electricity/NEM/Security_and_Reliability/Ancillary_Services/Regulation-FCAS-Contribution-Factors-Procedure.pdf.