B2B Guide

CONSULTATION

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant**: Select Solutions - GLOBALM / EASTENMP*

***Completion Date**: 02/12/2016*

Table of Contents

1. Guide 3

1. Guide

Clause	Heading	Comments
4	Table of B2B Communications – Key and description Table 1: Table of B2B Transactions and Participants pg 9	Is there a reason ENM does not appear in the Key or anywhere as an initiator, recipient or notified party in the table? Eg in cases of "allocate NMI" Recipeint can be ENM or DB
4	Table 1: Table of B2B Transactions and Participants pg 9	**Transaction type: Metering Service works – Install controlled load** Is this scenario applicable to Contestable Metering (Type 1-4 large)? If MC is the initiator in this scenario then should the RB be the notified party?
4	Table 1: Table of B2B Transactions and Participants pg 10	**Transaction type: Customer and Site Details notification – Customer Details Notification** shows DB and MP as the recipients, can you have two recipients or are two separate notifications required? – One for each
4	Table 1: Table of B2B Transactions and Participants pg 11	**Transaction type: One way Notification – Network Tariff Notification** MC should also be a recipient party as they have the responsibility to maintain tariff should the DB send two one way notifications?
4	Table 1: Table of B2B Transactions and Participants pg 11	**Transaction type: Meter Data Process – Provide Meter Data & Verify Meter Data** A New/Old MDP should also be able to initiate these in order to request PMD, VMD for churn scenarios?
4	Table 1: Table of B2B Transactions and Participants pg 11	**Transaction type: Meter Data Process – Meter Data Notification** For Churn scenario the MDP needs to also be a recipient
5.1.1 c (v)	Usage Scenarios - New Connections pg 12	MP can only Create Metering Instillation Details CR in MSATS Only after NMI is made active in MSATS by DB – This does not fall in line with the correct sequence of events.

5.1.1.1. (c)	Example Process Flows – New Connections- NSW	Flow chart does not correspond to correct sequence of events – **MP updates MSATS meter records** - MSATS is only updated when DNSP have updated NMI status to active
5.1.1.1. (d)	Example Process Flows – New Connections- QLD pg 12	**MP Installs Meter and tests** – What tests are conducted here? **MP updates MSATS meter records** - MSATS is only updated when DNSP have updated NMI status to active – Process does not flow in sequence
5.1.1.1. (g)	Example Process Flows – New Connections- Victoria pg 12	**MP updates MSATS meter records** - MSATS is only updated when DNSP have updated NMI status to active – Process does not flow in sequence
5.1.2. (a)	Adds & Alts pg 18	**Install Meter replacing Metering Service Works - Install Meter** This sub type can also be used for New Connection not just to add an additional meter.
5.1.2.1	Example Process Flows – Meter Exchange – Figure 6: A Meter Exchange sequence where the MP is not Changing. Pg 20	What if current MP requires a meter exchange due to unplanned works (sue to safety fault) on site do we still follow this process or can MP complete works onsite and just send and Notice of Metering Works notification.
5.1.2.1	Example Process Flows – Meter Exchange – Figure 7: A Meter Exchange process preceded by FRMP, MC, MDP, MP role changes. pg 21	How will RB know when we are scheduling our works in order to send their customer an >4 bus days outage notification. Do we have to notify RB of scheduled date of works if job is not scheduled on an appointment?
5.1.3	Figure 8: Example De-Energisation Process pg 22	If MP completes works why is it the MDP responsible to update the status in MSATS
5.1.3	Figure 9: Example Re-Energisation Process pg 23	If MP completes works why is it the MDP responsible to update the status in MSATS
5.2.3	Customer and Site Details Notification – Life Support pg 27	Do these rules also apply to Sensitive Loads?
5.2.3.1	Example Process Flows involving Life Support data Figure 11 – Example Life support CSDN process where	Is it mandatory for MC/MP system to contain - Life Support Equipement details?

	NDSP, MC or MP initiates process. Pg 28	
5.3.1.2	One Way Notification – Network tariff Notification (NTN) pg 31	Why not have a notification for FRMP to then notify MC/MP. Rules state maintenance of Tariff is MP responsibility to maintain via arrangement with FRMP why not a notification?
5.3.1.4	Meter Fault and Issue Notification (MFN) pg 31	Does this apply to type 1-4 large site meters? What if this scenario is a safety issue and meter needs replacement asap? Would we still have to wait for a service order?
5.4.1	Provide Meter Data pg 32	MDP's can also request a PMD from other MDP's
5.4.3 (b)	Metering Installation Inquiry Request/Response pg32	Should these be service orders?
6.1.8.1 (c)	General – Meter Reading Date pg 35	Is this for 4A metering (does not include type 1-4)?
6.5 (b)	Meter Fault and Issue Notification (MFN)	*The Recipient must appoint a **contestable Metering Co-ordinator** when the Initiator of the notification is the Initial Metering Co-ordinator* This statement is not clear, are we assuming the DNSP is the intial Metering Co-Ordinator in this instance?