

IIR response template for IN011/20 (CDN and CDR Changes) – Responses to be emailed to grcf@aemo.com.au by due **COB (AEST) 31 July 2020**.

Review comments submitted by: *Simply Energy*

Date: *31 July 2020*

Contact Person: *Aakash Sembey*

Please complete sections 1. Section 2 and 3 is optional.

Section 1 - General Comments on the Impact and Implementation Report

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
<p>Sections 1 to 8 of the IIR sets out <u><i>AEMOs critical examination of the proposal</i></u>.</p> <p>Does your organisation supports AEMO’s examination of the proposal?</p> <p>If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO examination proposal and include information that supports your organisation rational why you do not support AEMO examination.</p>	<p>Simply Energy supports the proposal, further comments are provided in Section 3.</p>
<p>Section 9 and 10 of the IIR set out <u><i>AEMOs recommendation and timeframes</i></u>.</p> <p>Does your organisation supports AEMO position to recommend the procedures changes and the timeline for those procedures change to take effective?</p>	<p>Yes</p>

Section 2 - Feedback on the documentation changes described the Attachments of the IIR.

No specific comments, however comments provided in Section 3 related to multiple instruments under Section 2.

Section 3¹ (Optional) – Additional feedback that is not part of this consultation but warrants further investigations / discussions.

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
<p>Does your organisation have any feedback / suggestions that closely relates to the scope or impacts this consultation, but the nature of the feedback / suggestion warrant further investigations / discussion? If so, please included your feedback / suggestions.</p>	<p>As also raised in WA CDN/CDR PPC consultation, T71 transaction (or its equivalent in other gas instruments) need to be reviewed in light of the proposed CDN data elements (ref 70).</p> <p>The T71 transaction used in SA has different data elements as compared to the proposed CDN aseXML ref transaction 70 – AMEND CUSTOMER DETAILS. Since both are CDN files, it will make more sense if T71 data elements can be aligned with ref 70 (or vice versa), so that the six-monthly extracts can be run using same logic,</p> <p>I've compared the current ref 70 transaction (https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/gas/retail_markets_and_metering/market-procedures/sa_and_wa/2020/frc-b2b-system-interface-definitions-v46.pdf?la=en) with the proposed aseXML based ref 70 transaction and there are some structural changes in addition to the conversion from csv to aseXML. Also noticed that the sample transaction is not updated as it still shows csv based CDN.</p> <p>Sample Transaction</p> <pre> <Header> <From description="Energy Australia">ENGYASA</From> <To description="Envestra">ENVSA</To> <MessageID> CUSTDETSNOTIF-001</MessageID> <MessageDate>2011-09-27T00:09:17+10:00</MessageDate> <TransactionGroup>CUST</TransactionGroup> <Priority>Low</Priority> <Market>SAGAS</Market> </Header> <Transactions> <Transaction transactionID=" CUSTDETSNOTIF-001" transactionDate="2011-09-27T00:09:17+10:00"> <CustomerDetailsNotification version="r12"> <CSVCustomer> <RecordCount>1</RecordCount> <CSVData> </pre>

¹ Note - This feedback will be reviewed by AEMO at a later date, therefore will not be used for this consultation. AEMO will complete a preliminary assessment of the feedback assess the feedback and it may then form part of another consultation or the annual prioritisation process

```
NMI,NMI_Checksum,Person_Name_Title,Person_Name_
Given,Person_Name_Family,Business_Name,ContactDetail_
PersonName,Mail_Address_Line_1,
Mail_Address_Line_2,Mail_Address_Line_3,Suburb_Or_Place_Or_Locality,State_
Or_Territory,Postcode,ContactDetail_PhoneNumber_1,ContactDetail_PhoneNumber_2,Sensitive_Load_Flag,Movement_Type
5510555678,61MISS,CUST,NOTIF,CATION, ,75 TEST AVENUE,,,ADELAIDE,SA,5000,04256811111,0438887703,N,UP
</CSVData>
</CSVCustomer>
</CustomerDetailsNotification>
</Transaction> </Transactions>
```

Simply Energy is also supportive of T71 to be deleted from relevant instruments altogether, if not made consistent with the CDN structure. However, we note that AEMO will include it as part of a “residual” consultation for all east coast TP changes to be included in the Q4 2021 release and captured it in retail market issues/change register (IN018/20). If there’s no inconsistency in CDN structures (be it by deleting T71 or aligning it with ref 70) at the time of implementation, Simply Energy is comfortable with the proposed IIR.