



Response template for the amendments to approved process consultation

Email responses to: gwcf_correspondence@aemo.com.au

Review comments submitted by: *AGL (Wholesale and Retail)*

Confidential: NO

Date: 7 Oct 2024

Contact Person: *Mark Riley*

Please complete sections 1 and 2.

Section 1 - General comments on the amendments to the approved process

| Topic | Please Provide Response Here |
|---|---|
| General comments on the consultation and Approved Process | AGL generally supports the changes, noting the issues raised below. |

Section 2 - Feedback on the documentation changes

Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.

Approved Process

| Procedure Clause # | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |
|--------------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| 3 | Initial Assessment The section on the process if a proposal does not comply with the requirements of 135ED(2) has been removed, which can complicate the understanding of the initial proponent. | Suggest retain clause (c). | |
| 4 | The procedure references the use of Consultative Forums, but with the current edits, there's no clear pathway (head of Power) for those forums to be created or maintained, as the NGR does not seem to consider these groups. | Suggest that there be a new section somewhere which recognises the forums and refers to ToRs as changed from time to time. | |
| 5 Diagram A | Expedited Process. Like rule processes, where an expedited process is proposed, if one or more participants disagree with that assessment, then the Participant should be able to ask for a consultative forum meeting to discuss the matter, which may in turn recommend to AEMO that a standard consultation process is needed. | Suggest new section describing process when an expedited process is not supported. | |
| Diagram A | | | |