



27 May 2020

Australian Energy Market Operator  
GPO Box 2008  
Melbourne VICTORIA 3001

NEM.Retailprocedureconsultations@aemo.com.au

Dear Sir/Madam

### **Energy Queensland submission to the B2B Procedures v3.4 Consultation**

Energy Queensland Limited (Energy Queensland) welcomes the opportunity to provide comment to the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) on the B2B Procedures v3.4 Consultation. This submission is provided on behalf of Energy Queensland's related entities, Energex Limited (Energex), Ergon Energy Corporation Limited (Ergon Energy), Ergon Energy Queensland Limited (Ergon Energy Retail) and Yurika Pty Ltd (Yurika).

Energy Queensland's comments in response to the consultation questions, are included in the attached response template. In general, Energy Queensland broadly supports the proposed package of changes. In particular we approve:

- the changes to the B2B Guide in line with the draft B2B Process changes including the addition of the tables defining 'Regulatory Classification Combinations' and 'Sub-type/Purpose Combinations' related to Meter Service Works (MSW) transactions;
- the changes to the Meter Data Process related to Unmetered Loads;
- the changes to the One-Way Notification Process to remove the Meter Exchange Notification (MXN) transaction and to enhance the Planned Interruption Notice transaction to allow its use in place of the MXN;
- the changes to the Service Order Process to better define the Supply Abolishment process in NSW and ACT, the inclusion of new Service Order fields and enumerations in the MSW transactions; and
- the changes to the Technical Delivery Specification for the increased meter data file size and number limits and terminology for Unmetered Loads.

Should AEMO require additional information or wish to discuss any aspect of this submission, please contact either myself, on (07) 3851 6787 or Barbara Neil on (07) 4432 8464.

Yours sincerely



Trudy Fraser  
**Manager Regulation**

Telephone: (07) 3851 6787 / 0467 782 350

Email: [trudy.fraser@energyq.com.au](mailto:trudy.fraser@energyq.com.au)

***Encl:*** Energy Queensland comments to consultation questions in the response template

## B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details (version change)
- Service Order
- Meter Data
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification

## CONSULTATION – Second Draft Report

## CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE

### Table of Contents

1. One Way Notification Process.....	2
2. General Comments.....	3

## 1. One Way Notification Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Metering Dynamics			We approve of the changes to the One Way Notification Process to remove the MXN transaction and to enhance the PIN transaction to allow its use in place of the MXN.

### *Second Draft Report Question*

Given a majority of respondents to the First Draft Report indicated a preference towards enhancement of the PIN to replace the MXN, drafting of it has been provided. With this drafting in mind, are there any further enhancements or changes to the PIN that you would suggest? If so, what?

Participant Name	Question No	Comments
Metering Dynamics Ergon Energy Queensland	1	We agree with the removal of the MXN transaction and the application of the PIN transaction in its place. Other than the addition of the related Service Order field, we do not see the need for any further enhancements to the PIN transaction. In order to remove ambiguity and provide positive customer and business outcomes, we suggest making provision of the end date mandatory.

## 2. General Comments

Participant Name	Document/Section	Clause No	Comments
Metering Dynamics	General		We agree with the proposed staggered effective dates for the Process changes, noting that the outcome of the proposed 5MS rule change delay may impact the timing of these and other proposed market changes and these changes will require schema changes.
Ergon Energy Queensland	B2B Guide		We note there are additional changes to the MSW since the first consultation stage, which appear to be mostly for clarification or improvement and these are supported. However, given the large volume of transactions in the MSW message type, this will result in some business impacts, requiring internal system changes to support the implementation, as well as downstream processes such as regulatory reporting, and we suggest these will not be insignificant. Notwithstanding, the proposed changes are largely positive.