

METERING ICF PACKAGE CHANGES

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

FIRST STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Alinta Energy

Submission Date: 11/09/2020

Table of Contents

- 1. Context 3
- 2. MSATS Procedures: CATS 3
- 3. MSATS Procedures: WIGS..... 4
- 4. Metrology Procedure: Part A..... 4
- 5. Metrology Procedure: Part B..... 5
- 6. Service Level Procedure Meter Provider Services..... 6
- 7. NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B..... 6
- 8. Meter Data File Format Specification..... 7
- 9. Standing Data for MSATS..... 8
- 10. Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework..... 8
- 11. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 9

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the changes detailed in the initial draft procedures associated with the Metering ICF Package Changes consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures and the following proposed changes by proponents and AEMO to implement recommended process improvements.

2. MSATS Procedures: CATS

Section	Description	Participant Comments
2.4.(s)	Updated to include a new sub clause(s) for obligation on MDP to provide relationship mapping between Register ID and Datastream Suffix.	Alinta Energy supports this amendment.
2.9.(k)	Updated to include a different timeframe for cancelling an incomplete CR6800.	Alinta Energy Supports the proposed increase in the timeframe before a incomplete CR6800 is cancelled. We note that Participants may be able to manage to the current process and avoid any non-compliance however by increasing the time allowed before the CR6800's cancelled will ensure the duplication of effort is avoided/reduced and avoid non-compliance in some instances.
4.3 Table 4-C	Updated 'Description' for Code 'BADPARTY' for current MC to object to	Alinta Energy conditionally supports this proposal. The ability for the MC to object to small NMI's in Victoria should be time based and linked to the current order in council. AEMO may want to consider if making this state based is the

Section	Description	Participant Comments
	change of MC for SMALL NMI's only in Victoria.	best solution, it may be better making this DB based to ensure the is no confusion in state border regions.
4.4 Table 4-D	Updated to reflect the current jurisdictional requirements for Small and Large customers.	Alinta Energy Supports this proposal
13.3.6 Table 13-H	New entry related to objection code 'BADPARTY' for CR6300 and CR6301.	Alinta Energy Supports this proposal

3. MSATS Procedures: WIGS

Section	Description	Participant Comments
Version	Updated to align version numbering with MSATS: CATS procedures	Alinta Energy Supports this proposal

4. Metrology Procedure: Part A

Section	Description	Participant Comments
12.5	Update to replace 'verification' with 'Validation'. Amend the section heading and introduction paragraph to better align with terminology used in SLP MP clause 4.2(b)	No Comment

5. Metrology Procedure: Part B

Section	Description	Participant Comments
2.4	Update to remove 'N' Metering Data Quality Flag	Alinta Energy Supports this proposal
13.2.2(a)(v)	Update to remove 'End User Details' from Inventory Table. Reverse 5MS/GS changes.	No Comment
13.3.2(a)(ii)	Update to remove 'End User Details' from Inventory Table. Reverse 5MS/GS changes.	No Comment
13.5.2(a)(v)	Update to remove 'End User Details' from Inventory Table. Reverse 5MS/GS changes.	No Comment

6. Service Level Procedure Meter Provider Services

Section	Description	Participant Comments
4.2(a)(iii) & (b)	Update to replace 'verification' with 'Validation'.	No Comment
4.2(c)(ii) & (d)	Update to replace 'verify' with 'Validate'.	No Comment
4.4	Update to replace 'verify' with 'confirm'.	No Comment

7. NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B

Section	Description	Participant Comments
17.2(a)	Updated to change the section from 19 to 16.	Alinta Energy Supports this amendment.
17.2(b)	Updated to include 'AEMO must' in the sub clause.	Alinta Energy Supports this amendment.
17.2(c)	Include new sub clause to remove MSATS access for the Failed Retailer.	Alinta Energy Supports this amendment.

8. Meter Data File Format Specification

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.1(b)	Updated to remove the sub clause (b).	Alinta Energy Supports this proposal
4.4	<p>Updated to remove the text in Definition column related to Meter Data Quality Flag 'N' against the Field InternalValue1....InternalValueN</p> <p>Updated to remove 'N' from Allowed Values against the Fields QualityMethod and ReasonCode</p> <p>Updated to remove the text in Definition column related to Quality Flag 'N' against Field UpdateDateTime</p>	Alinta Energy Supports this proposal
4.5	Updated to remove 'N' from Allowed Values against the Fields QualityMethod and ReasonCode	Alinta Energy Supports this proposal
Appendix C	Update to remove the row related to Quality flag 'N'.	Alinta Energy Supports this proposal

9. Standing Data for MSATS

Section	Description	Participant Comments
8.1	Amend the description of Average Daily Load in Table 15	Alinta Energy Supports this amendment.
9.1	Amend the description of RegisterID in Table 18	Alinta Energy Supports this amendment.

10. Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework

Section	Description	Participant Comments
5	Amend definition of the term Average Daily Load (ADL).	Alinta Energy Supports this amendment.

11. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Heading	Participant Comments
<p>Are there better options to accommodate the change proposals, that better achieve the required objectives? What are the pros and cons of these options? How would they be implemented?</p>	<p>No Comment</p>
<p>What are the main challenges in adopting these proposed changes? How should these challenges be addressed?</p>	<p>The CR68XX timeframe increase may see an increase in the number of duplicate transactions, Alinta Energy suggest that AEMO consider automatically cancelling/rejecting duplicate CR68XX transactions where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the same MC has two concurrent CR68XX's, or • Upon change of MC a previous MC still has a CR68XX open (i.e. validation that the CR68XX is associated to current participants in the role), or • Two CR68XX nominates two different Parties in the same Role <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ MDP ○ MPB ○ MPC <p>It is suggested that the latest CR68XX from the current Participant should remain where 2 or more CR68XX exist on the same NMI.</p>