

MSATS Standing Data Review

- MSDR Second Draft Determination and Report
- MSATS Procedures – WIGS
- MSATS Procedures – CATS
- Standing Data for MSATS Guideline
- Retail Electricity Market Procedures Glossary & Framework
- Exemption Procedure Meter Installation Malfunctions
- Metrology Procedure Part A

CONSULTATION – Second Draft Stage

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: CitiPower Powercor

Completion Date: 27 July 2020

Table of Contents

- 1. Context 3
- 2. Questions raised in the MSATS Standing Data Review Second Draft Report 3
- 3. Proposed Changes in MSATS Procedures – CATS..... 4
- 4. Proposed Changes in MSATS Procedures - WIGS..... 6
- 5. Proposed Changes in Standing Data for MSATS Guideline 7
- 6. Proposed Changes in Metrology Procedure Part A..... 9
- 7. Proposed Changes in Exemption Procedure Meter Installation Malfunctions 10
- 8. Proposed Changes in Retail Electricity Market Procedures - Glossary and Framework 10
- 9. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 11

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback to the questions raised in the Second Draft Report about the proposed changes to the MSATS Standing Data, and to the second draft changes highlighted in **YELLOW** in the change marked versions of the different procedures and guidelines released in the second draft stage of consultation.

2. Questions raised in the MSATS Standing Data Review Second Draft Report

2.1 Material Issues

Information Category	Question No.	Question	Participant Comments
Metering Installation Transformer Information	1.	The proposed CT/VT fields values and validations, as listed above, are provided as examples to stimulate feedback from participants. AEMO notes some feedback that options are missing for CT Types, to allow for HV CTs and LV Special CTs. What is the list of values and validations that you need or want for the enumerated list for the various CT/VT fields? (In the absence of any such feedback, the list proposed by AEMO would provide the initial values for the CT/VT fields)?	CitiPower Powercor supports the list proposed by AEMO.

3. Proposed Changes in MSATS Procedures – CATS

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in yellow in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
2.2 (r)	
2.2(s)	
2.3(r)	<p>CitiPower Powercor strongly disagrees with the obligation to update shared fusing information within two business days. We believe five business days is appropriate as there is no urgency in this information being published. We recommend that timeframes stated in all relevant clauses be updated from two to five business days.</p> <p>Processing of this information is likely to be manual and the timeframe being extended to five business days will allow sufficient time to update the information.</p>
2.3(s)	<p>CitiPower Powercor disagrees with adding a new flag value of 'I' and recommends this clause be removed. We believe the information captured about shared fuse arrangements should be kept simple and adding an additional flag adds complexity and creates the potential for error. Users of the field only need to understand 2 things – the NMI is either impacted by a shared isolation scenario (therefore the value of “Y” provides this information) or it is not impacted (therefore value of “N” provides this information).</p>
2.3(t)	See comment in 2.3(r)
2.6(k)	See comment in 2.3(r)
2.6(l)	See comment in 2.3(r)

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
2.9(k)	
9.3.4(c)	
9.3.4(e)	
9.4.4(c)	
9.4.4(d)	
10.1.4(c)	
10.1.4(e)	
10.2.4(g)	
10.3.4(h)	
10.4.4(g)	
10.5.4(g)	
15.1.4(f)	
Table 16-C	

4. Proposed Changes in MSATS Procedures - WIGS

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in yellow in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
4.3.4(c)	
4.3.4(h)	
5.2.4(c)	
5.2.4(d)	
5.3.4(f)	
5.4.4(f)	
9.1.4(b)(iii)	

5. Proposed Changes in Standing Data for MSATS Guideline

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in yellow in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
Table 3 CATS_METER_REGISTER	CitiPower Powercor seeks clarification of the requirement to capture GPS co-ordinates for NCONUML and type 7 connections. We don't believe it would be possible to capture GPS co-ordinates for Type 7 connections as many of these have a 1 to many NMIs relationship.
Table 3 CATS_METER_REGISTER	CitiPower Powercor seeks clarification why the requirement to provide GPS co-ordinates to 7 decimal places has changed from 5 in the 1 st draft determination? We and many in the industry had proposed that 5 was appropriate in the earlier consultation and AEMO had also confirmed this in the draft determination. We believe 7 is unnecessary and the cost to do this would outweigh the benefit.
Table 6 CATS_NMI_DATA	<p>Shared Isolation Point Flag - as stated above, CitiPower Powercor does not support inclusion of the 'I' flag and recommends it be removed.</p> <p>We believe the information captured about shared fuse arrangements should be kept simple and adding an additional flag adds complexity and creates the potential for error. Users of the field only need to understand 2 things – the NMI is either impacted by a shared isolation scenario (therefore the value of "Y" provides this information) or it is not impacted (therefore value of "N" provides this information).</p>
Table 8 CATS_REGISTER_IDENTIFIER	
Table 12 - Valid Datastream Type Codes	Contents of this table don't appear to incorporate changes required for 5MS/GS and we seek confirmation that this procedure will be updated if 5MS is implemented ahead or with the MSDR changes and include the N datastream.

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
Table 15 Valid Meter Use Codes	
Table 16 Valid Time of Day Codes	
Section 13	
Table 43 CATS_Meter_Register	
Table 46 CATS_NMI_Data	
Table 49 CATS_Meter_Register	
Table 52 CATS_NMI_Data	

6. Proposed Changes in Metrology Procedure Part A

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in yellow in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
14. SHARED FUSE ARRANGEMENTS	

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments

7. Proposed Changes in Exemption Procedure Meter Installation Malfunctions

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in **yellow** in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
1.1	
2.2	
Appendix A	
Appendix B	

8. Proposed Changes in Retail Electricity Market Procedures - Glossary and Framework

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in **yellow** in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
5. GLOSSARY Shared Fuse Arrangement	

9. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Heading	Participant Comments
Retrospective CRs	CitiPower Powercor seeks clarification on retrospective CRs, following commencement of the Standing Data changes retrospective CRs will only contain new and/or amended fields.
Timeframe for implementation	As 5MS and GS effective dates have only been delayed by three months CitiPower Powercor recommends that the commencement of MSATS Standing Data changes also be delayed to March 2022. This is so as not have too many major changes commencing at the same time and allow participants time to stabilise their 5MS deployments.