

B2B Procedures

- RoLR Procedures Part B

CONSULTATION – Draft Report

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: PLUS ES

Completion Date: 10 Jul 2023

Table of Contents

1. NEM ROLR PROCESSES 3

1. NEM ROLR PROCESSES

Section	Description	Participant	Comments
102.3 Suspended Retailer/Insolvency Official Obligations	Update of 'Table 102 A: Customer and Site Details to Provide to RoLR' - ADL	PLUS ES	<p>The 'Comment' wording for ADL in Table 102A - conditional requirement of NMI is not SMALL.</p> <p>The requirement to include the ADL field in the Table has not been clarified by the Retailers. Hence, PLUS ES proposes for the conditional criteria to be removed for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The addition of conditional requirements requires extra logic/effort – removing the additional criteria could deliver efficiency. • Classification of SMALL NMI includes all Residential customers (irrespective of their consumption usage) and Business customers. • Providing the value for all NMIs would enable the RoLR to determine if and how, they use the field. <p>Additionally, this would then require the Provisioning requirement of this ADL field to be amended from R (Required) to M (Mandatory).</p>

Section	Description	Participant	Comments
102.3 Suspended Retailer/Insolvency Official Obligations	Update of 'Table 102 A: Customer and Site Details to Provide to RoLR' – Life Support field	PLUS ES	<p>The Comment section of this 'new' field includes the following:</p> <p><i>As defined in the LifeSupportNotification transaction in the B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification Process. The valid values for and format of this component are as per the definition of LifeSupportStatus in the B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification Process.</i></p> <p>It is contradictory to define the field, <i>as defined in the LifeSupportNotification transaction in the B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification (CSDN) Process</i> when the allowable valuables in the RoLR procedure have been amended and do not align with the values in the CSDN Process.</p> <p>PLUS ES recommends:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The allowable values are amended to reflect those of the LifeSupportNotification transaction in the B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification Process or • The comment section is reworded for clarity i.e. the allowable fields are defined in the comments section accordingly, without referencing the <i>LifeSupportNotification</i> transaction.
102.4 LNSP Obligations	Update of 'Table 102 B: LNSP's Customer and Site Details to Provide to RoLR' – Clause 102.4 (a)	PLUS ES	<p>Incorrect References - PLUS ES recommends a revision and amendment of the following –</p> <p>Clause 102.4 (a) makes mention of Clause 5.3 c).</p> <p>PLUS ES was unable to locate clause 5.3 c) in the RoLR procedures.</p>

Section	Description	Participant	Comments
102.4 LNSP Obligations	Update of 'Table 102 B: LNSP's Customer and Site Details to Provide to RoLR' – Clause 102.4 (b)	PLUS ES	<p>Incorrect References – References have been made to clause 8.3 c) and d) in clause 102.4 (b). PLUS ES was unable to locate clause 8.3 in the RoLR procedures.</p> <p>PLUS ES recommends a revision and amendment of the references for clarity.</p>
102.4 LNSP Obligations	Update of 'Table 102 B: Customer and Site Details to Provide to RoLR' – Life Support field	PLUS ES	<p>The Comment section of this 'new' field includes the following:</p> <p><i>As defined in the LifeSupportNotification transaction in the B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification Process. The valid values for and format of this component are as per the definition of LifeSupportStatus in the B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification Process.</i></p> <p>It is contradictory to define the field, <i>as defined in the LifeSupportNotification transaction in the B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification (CSDN) Process</i> when the allowable valuables in the RoLR procedure have been amended and do not align with the values in the CSDN Process.</p> <p>PLUS ES recommends:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The allowable values are amended to reflect those of the LifeSupportNotification transaction in the B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification Process or <p>The comment section is reworded for clarity i.e. the allowable fields are defined in the comments section accordingly, without referencing the <i>LifeSupportNotification</i> transaction.</p>

Section	Description	Participant	Comments
104.4 LNSP Obligations	Editing of section (b), (e) an (g)	PLUS ES	<p>Clause (e):</p> <p>The inclusion of the additional wording '<i>de-energisations for</i>' has the following outcomes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Amended the intent of the original clause (e) and2. Duplicated an obligation already defined in clause (c). <p>PLUS ES recommends a review and clarification of the obligation of clause (e).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If the intent is for service orders other than de-energisation, remove additional wording and clarify.• If the intent is to reference de-energisation service orders, delete clause (e), due to duplication.
104.5 RoLR Obligations	Addition of clause 104.4 (g) in section (b)		