

July 2023 Retail Electricity Market Procedures Consultation

FIRST STAGE CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: SA Power Networks

Submission Date: 15 August 2023

Table of Contents

- 1. Context 3
- 2. Feedback on Net System Load Profile Methodology (ICF_072) discussion 3
- 3. Feedback on Substitution Type review (ICF_054) discussion..... 4
- 4. Feedback on Summation Metering Changes (ICF_073) discussion..... 4
- 5. Feedback on NMI Discovery for MCs discussion..... 5

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback on the content of the initial draft version of the *July 2023 REMP Consultation*.

2. Feedback on Net System Load Profile Methodology (ICF_072) discussion

Question	Participant Comments
<p>1. Do you agree that Option 1 best achieves the desired objectives and principles? If not, why?</p>	
<p>2. Do you believe an alternative methodology would better achieve the desired objectives and principles? Why? Please provide details of the alternative methodology.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The selection of an alternative methodology would likely result in a delay to the longer-term methodology being implemented, as AEMO would need to develop, analyse and test this alternative. 	
<p>3. Do you agree that the preferred methodology should not be implemented prior to October 2024 and that with the</p>	

Question	Participant Comments
implementation of the new methodology should occur during a historically less volatile pricing period? If not, why?	

3. Feedback on Substitution Type review (ICF_054) discussion

Question	Participant Comments
1. Do you agree that the proposed changes, to the substitution types and reason codes, will achieve the desired objective? In not, why?	
2. Which of the proposed implementation dates do you believe should be pursued, and why?	SA Power Networks preference is 4 November 2024.

4. Feedback on Summation Metering Changes (ICF_073) discussion

Question	Participant Comments
1. Do you agree with the proposed inclusion of the three summation arrangements? If not, why?	

Question	Participant Comments
2. Do you believe that an alternative approach would better achieve the desired objective?	
3. Is the summation method detailed enough or should it be more prescriptive?	
4. Do you agree with the proposed effective date? If not, please provide an alternative effective date with reasoning.	

5. Feedback on NMI Discovery for MCs discussion

Question	Participant Comments
1. Do you agree with the proposed change to the CATS Procedure? If not, why?	
2. Do you believe that an alternative approach would better achieve the desired objective?	
3. Do you agree with the proposed effective date? If not, please provide an alternative effective date with reasoning.	