

# July 2023 Retail Electricity Market Procedures Consultation

## FIRST STAGE CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant:*** Red Energy and Lumo Energy

***Submission Date:*** 24/Aug/2023

# Table of Contents

- 1. Context..... 3
- 2. Feedback on Net System Load Profile Methodology (ICF\_072) discussion..... 3
- 3. Feedback on Substitution Type review (ICF\_054) discussion..... 4
- 4. Feedback on Summation Metering Changes (ICF\_073) discussion..... 4
- 5. Feedback on NMI Discovery for MCs discussion..... 5

## 1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback on the content of the initial draft version of the *July 2023 REMP Consultation*.

## 2. Feedback on Net System Load Profile Methodology (ICF\_072) discussion

Question	Participant Comments
<p>1. Do you agree that Option 1 best achieves the desired objectives and principles? If not, why?</p>	<p>Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo) agree that Option 1 best achieves the desired result.</p>
<p>2. Do you believe an alternative methodology would better achieve the desired objectives and principles? Why? Please provide details of the alternative methodology.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The selection of an alternative methodology would likely result in a delay to the longer-term methodology being implemented, as AEMO would need to develop, analyse and test this alternative.</li> </ul>	<p>Red and Lumo do not have an alternative to offer.</p>
<p>3. Do you agree that the preferred methodology should not be implemented prior to October 2024 and that with the</p>	<p>Red and Lumo would prefer an earlier effective date to remove the existing problems with NSLP energy calculation however we acknowledge the requirement for consultation, system build and benefit of assessment of the impact of the 5MLP methodology.</p>

Question	Participant Comments
implementation of the new methodology should occur during a historically less volatile pricing period? If not, why?	

### 3. Feedback on Substitution Type review (ICF\_054) discussion

Question	Participant Comments
1. Do you agree that the proposed changes, to the substitution types and reason codes, will achieve the desired objective? If not, why?	Red & Lumo are supportive of this proposal and believe it will achieve the desired outcomes.
2. Which of the proposed implementation dates do you believe should be pursued, and why?	Red Energy would prefer the implementation of 5 May 2025, this suits with the number of other system charges that are currently in the pipeline.

### 4. Feedback on Summation Metering Changes (ICF\_073) discussion

Question	Participant Comments
1. Do you agree with the proposed inclusion of the three summation arrangements? If not, why?	Red and Lumo have no feedback on this ICF_073

Question	Participant Comments
2. Do you believe that an alternative approach would better achieve the desired objective?	
3. Is the summation method detailed enough or should it be more prescriptive?	
4. Do you agree with the proposed effective date? If not, please provide an alternative effective date with reasoning.	

## 5. Feedback on NMI Discovery for MCs discussion

Question	Participant Comments
1. Do you agree with the proposed change to the CATS Procedure? If not, why?	It's unclear what change to the CATS procedure is specifically proposed, however any variation in access to NMI Standing Data for a Metering Coordinator would require a change to the National Electricity Rule 7.15.5
2. Do you believe that an alternative approach would better achieve the desired objective?	Red and Lumo do not have an alternative to offer.
3. Do you agree with the proposed effective date? If not, please provide an alternative effective date with reasoning.	The proposed effective date must consider if a Rule change is required to allow additional access for an MC to NMI Standing Data.