Submission received via email to the GPSRR@AEMO.com.au mailbox

Number	Consultation Question	Answer
1	Is it appropriate to apply the 2024 Integrated System Plan (ISP) Step Change scenario to assess future power system risks for the 2025 GPSRR?	No Comment
2	Are there any suggested improvements regarding the risk assessments, considering the approach is based on the 2024 GPSRR?	AEMO should focus on a system view rather than a regional one, e.g. BESS response to remote events is a current issue in the SA network; however, it potentially become a problem in other NEM regions in the future.
3	What are stakeholder views on how to effectively consider risks where the impact is difficult to define as part of the 2025 GPSRR?	No Comment
4	What are stakeholder views regarding the priority risks proposed to be considered as part of the 2025 GPSRR, including any proposed changes to the events or the methodology for assessment?	Risk 1 AEMO should also consider the thermal overloading of transmission elements, which can result in cascading tripping due to BESS responses. This is an emerging risk, especially during critical transmission elements outage conditions. Risk 2
		AEMO should undertake sensitivity studies looking at post-PEC Stage 2 as an additional scenario for this risk.
5	What are stakeholder views regarding the proposed modelling approach for the priority risks for assessment in the 2025 GPSRR?	No Comment
6	What are stakeholder views regarding the proposed risk cost assessment methodology to be applied in the 2025 GPSRR?	No Comment

Please see below for some feedback on 2025 GPSRR approach paper.

7	Does the proposed consultation approach meet	No Comment
	stakeholder expectations and do stakeholders	
	have any suggestions on how AEMO could best	
	engage with industry on the 2025 GPSRR?	

Happy to discuss further as required.

Kind regards,

Devinda