Global-Roam submission for 2024 CIR guideline review

We do have a request that concerns additional information stakeholders consider should be included in the CIR.

Related to Clause 3.7A(b)(2) of the NER (AEMO to provide historical data on mispricing at transmission network nodes).
We would very much like to

Have a way to specifically know which constraints are used in the mis-pricing calculation (local_price_adjustment value), and which ones are not.

The value would be that:
- Stakeholders could use this to better understand dispatch outcomes, by being able to separate out constraints involved in congestion from other constraints that can impact a unit's dispatch target.
- Stakeholder analysis of congestion would also be aided by having a way separate the constraints that are not relevant (to congestion) by using an official resource to do so.

In the Mis-Pricing Guideline we see the description of the constraints excluded as constraints "that may bind but are not considered include: • FCAS constraints • Constraints invoked to manage non-conformance • Constraints invoked during AEMO intervention events • Constraints invoked to reflect Network Support Agreements between Transmission Network Service Providers and market participants". Yet it is (it appears) difficult to confidently link these descriptions to actual constraint identifiers in the EMMS.