Response Template – AEMO Draft Report on EnelX Baseline Methodology Proposals

**Consultation questions – baseline methodology proposals**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation question** | **Response** |
| 1. To what extent would introducing the new baseline methodologies outlined in the draft decision, including temperature-sensitive methodologies, support additional participation in the WDRM? |  |
| 1. Do you support the draft decision to introduce new 10 of 10 baseline methodology options that include a shorter 20-day lookback for eligibility and compliance? Why or why not? |  |
| 1. Do you support the introduction of baseline methodology options that include a lower negative adjustment floor (e.g. ‑200%)?    1. What negative adjustment floor options should accompany the new 10 of 10 baseline methodology proposal under draft decision (1)?    2. Does the proposed ‑200% negative adjustment floor strike the right balance to support load types that benefit from this setting, or would a different floor work better (e.g. ‑50%, ‑100%) and why?    3. Can you share examples of other scenarios or load types that would be suitable for participation in WDRM with a lower negative adjustment floor?    4. Has AEMO adequately captured the risks and benefits associated with this setting? |  |
| 1. Do you agree with AEMO’s draft decision to reject a High 3 of 10 baseline methodology and instead consult on introduction of High 5 of 10 baseline methodology options?    1. Does a High 5 of 10 methodology strike a better balance between incentivising participation and managing risks of gaming compared with High 3 of 10? If not, why?    2. Are there alternative approaches that better achieve this outcome?    3. Which High 5 of 10 methodology options are likely to support additional participation? |  |
| 1. Are there alternative standard compliance periods which may better accommodate seasonality while also fulfilling AEMO’s operational and compliance requirements? |  |
| 1. Are there any risks that AEMO has not considered, particularly with respect to the combination of settings for these baseline methodologies? |  |
| 1. Do you have any other comments about AEMO’s approach or draft decisions? |  |

**Consultation questions – costs and benefits**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Consultation question** | **Response** |
| 1. Do you agree with the costs and benefits outlined? |  |
| 1. What are your views on the materiality of:    1. Potential for reduced spot prices from the proposed changes.    2. The other benefits outlined. |  |
| 1. Do you consider that, on balance, AEMO should introduce new baseline methodologies? |  |