

Meeting Notes – B2B-WG

MEETING: Business-to-Business Working Group
DATE: Thursday, 20 January 2022
TIME: 9:30am-12:00pm
LOCATION: Teleconference
MEETING #: 01
CONTACT b2bwg@aemo.com.au

ATTENDEES:

| Name | Company |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Blaine Miner (Chair) | AEMO |
| Nandu Datar | AEMO |
| Kate Gordon | AEMO |
| Meghan Bibby | AEMO |
| Lenard Bull | AEMO |
| Aakash Sembey | Origin Energy |
| Adrian Honey | TasNetworks |
| Christophe Bechia | Red/Lumo |
| David Woods | SA Power Networks |
| Dino Ou | Endeavour Energy |
| Helen Vassos | PLUS ES |
| Mark Riley | AGL |
| Paul Greenwood | VectorAMS |
| Robert Lo Giudice | Alinta Energy |
| Robert Mitchell | EnergyQueensland |
| Wayne Farrell | Yurika |
| Carla Adolpho | IntelliHub |

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Acknowledgment and Apologies

Justin Bethlehem and Lenard Bull were noted as apologies.

Confirm agenda

The B2B-WG confirmed the agenda.

Action items from previous meeting and standing list of consultation items

Blaine Miner (AEMO) noted that the following items were being proposed to be closed since the last meeting, responsible owners were asked to provide the group an explanation as to why the actions should be closed:

| Action Meeting Date | Description | Responsible | Outcome |
|---------------------|--|-------------|---|
| 1011-03 | Review the amended Shared Fuse ICF and provide feedback | B2B WG | Prior to December 2021 B2B WG meeting AEMO received some responses. Since then IEC has approved this initiative to progress to consultation |
| 1011-04 | Check with AEMO IT if the CSV file name in the OWN envelope enumerated in the schema | AEMO | CSV is not part of the schema, it is a payload just like the MDFF 6/12 - Re-opened, is the CSVNotificationDetail (NTN) built into the schema or is it an external element 7/12 - It is not built into the schema, it is a payload that is wrapped in xml headers. Just like the MDN is. |
| 0612-01 | Change the layout of closed action items to include the outcome | AEMO | Meeting rescheduled. |
| 0612-04 | Reschedule the next B2B WG meeting from 11 Jan to 20 Jan | AEMO | AEMO provided the analysis on 16 Nov. |
| 0612-05 | AEMO to confirm the impact assessment of CDR in the Jan 2022 meeting | AEMO | AEMO's response included in the meeting pack. |

Discussion on Open action items:

- Further input is required from AEMO, Mark Riley (AGL) and David Woods(SAPN) to progress action items 2001-08 and 2001-09.
- Blaine Miner noted that there are number of action items related to B2B guide and some of them are repeats. Helen Vassos noted that the current due date of early Feb 2022 is difficult to achieve considering other higher priority work. The group agreed to move the items to March 2022 meeting. Mark Riley requested the refined list of actions related to B2B guide sent via an email to the B2B WG.

Actions:

- Organise a meeting with Mark Riley (AGL) and David Woods(SAPN) to progress work on fields tied to an Australian standard.
- Action 1011-04 requires further explanation. Mark Riley (AGL) to provide the query in an email.
- Reword action 0612-02 to include actions 2009-05 and 1110-02 and close actions 2009-05 and 1110-02.
- Actions 0612-02 and 0612-03 to be on the agenda for March meeting and change their due dates accordingly.
- Change the layout of open action items on meeting pack to include the due date.
- Send the new actions related to B2B guide in an email.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION OR NOTING

Consultation v3.8 Issues Paper timelines and responsibilities – B2B-WG

Discussion:

- Nandu Datar (AEMO) provided context to the steps taken in preparation of the draft Issues Paper.
- As per IEC's directive, the issues paper contains two solution options
 - 1a (single service order notified party) and 1b (two service order notified party).
- The IEC paper noted that the option 1b '...logic is unable to be mandated, therefore this could only be included in the B2B Guide as best practice'.
 - AEMO sought Legal review and the advice received suggested that the solution can be mandated in the service order process.
 - The topic was opened to the B2B WG to comments on the issues paper.
- Blane Miner noted that the IEC is seeking additional evidence as to why one option is better than the other and requested the B2B WG/respondents to provide quantifiable / tangible evidence to help the IEC in its deliberations.
- Helen Vassos queried why the IEC only wanted to understand 'additional costs' in implementing either option.
 - Meghan Bibby (AEMO) noted that the IEC appreciates that costs have been spent but it now interested in how much more the industry would need to spend to implement either solution
- Mark Riley noted he had reviewed the comments provided by Dino Ou (Endeavour) and that he did not fully understand them. Mark also noted it is important to clearly understand the feedback provided by each member. Blaine Miner queried if there is a need for a meeting to discuss each member's feedback. The group agreed with the suggestion.
- Dino Ou spoke to Endeavour Energy's feedback to the issues paper by stepping through each comment. Discussion among the B2B WG members resulted in various outcomes which are identified in the action items below.
- Dino Ou spoke to Endeavour Energy's feedback to the Service Order process modified for option 1a by stepping through each comment. Discussion among the B2B WG members resulted in various outcomes which are identified in the action items below.
- Dino Ou spoke to Endeavour Energy's feedback to the Service Order process modified for option 1b by stepping through each comment. Discussion among the B2B WG members resulted in various outcomes which are identified in the action items below.
- Meghan Bibby queried section 2.15 (Explanation of use of ExceptionCodes) as part of raising the two service orders, if the B2B WG had considered the response codes for not completing a duplicate or incorrect service order.
 - Following discussion on this issue it was identified that option 1b may require additional responses that has not been considered.
 - Helen Vassos queried if additional procedural requirements may be required to support option 1b. Helen also questioned if these issues could be resolved in time for the consultation to commence on 15 February 2022.

- Wayne Farrel (Yurika) noted that had the extra procedural requirements were made known to the IEC, would they chosen to consult on only option 1a, instead of both options being considered.
- Mark Riley noted that a quick survey is required from Distributors, excluding those from VIC, to better understand what they currently do with re-energisation requests.
- Helen Vassos noted that the B2B WG should determine what is the most efficient process for option 1b and to then reflect that process in the issues paper and underlying procedures.
- Taking into consideration the potential for additional new procedural requirements for option 1b, the B2B WG decided that additional analysis was required.
- AEMO agreed to organise a special meeting of the B2B WG for 31 January 2022, to allow for additional discussion regarding the proposed issues paper and to further consider the latest information discussed at today's meeting re option 1b.

Actions:

Actions for Issues Paper

- Aakash Sembey to respond to Dino Ou's first comment in section 2.1.1
- B2B WG members to propose rewording of the paragraph related Dino Ou's second and third comment in section 2.1.1
- Under section 2.1.2 B2B WG members to provide further explanation in response to Dino Ou's fourth comment.
- Table 7, last issue, B2B WG members to provide changes to the wording
- Mark Riley to provide wording to replace content in section 2.2.
- Remove dot points 2 and 3 about the date under the section 'Notice of Consultation'.

Actions for SO Process – option 1a

- Mark Riley to provide rewording for section 2.3(a) in SO process version 3.8a.

Actions for SO Process – option 1b

- Table 3, last column, change mandatory to optional.
- Remove sections 2.3.1 and 2.19
- Mark Riley to provide wording for an additional clause in 2.16.2
- Schedule a meeting on 31 January to discuss the new information related to option 1b. Include details of expectations from members and next steps.
- Meghan Bibby to notify IEC about identification of new information related option 1b.

Impact of CDR on B2B – Meghan Bibby (AEMO)

Discussion:

- Meghan Bibby spoke to the topic and noted that the IEC has been watching the CDR developments for over a year.
- The IEC recognises that CDR is outside the scope of B2B.

- Aakash Sembey (Origin) noted that should any discussions through the CDR implementation team engagement result in potential impacts to B2B, members of the team will engage the B2B WG.

OTHER BUSINESS

Wrap-up, actions, questions, parked issues

The next B2B-WG meeting is scheduled for 17 February 2022.

Parked items:

- None